

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,136		02/06/2004	Wendell B. Colson	322/US/3	322/US/3 8400	
20686	7590	09/06/2005		EXAMINER		
DORSEY		•	NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC			
INTELLEC	TUAL PR	OPERTY DEPAI	RTMENT			
370 SEVEN	ITEENTH	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 470	0			3654	•	
DENVER,	CO 8020	2-5647		D. MT. 144 W. DD. 00/06/000	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,136	COLSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Q. Nguyen	3654		

	John Q. Nguyen	3654						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031180					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	1 = 50.01.7,						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-	_					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 35-39. Claim(s) objected to: 4,7 and 8.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-34</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
725	2. Vyy	John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3654						
<i>V</i>	•							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is deemed that the Final rejection is still applicable. It should be noted that the examiner only agreed to reconsider the rejection during the interview. Claims 4 and 35 also need amendments regarding "drum" (see Office action).